KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP

1200 19TH STREET, N.W.

SUITE 500

NEW YORK, NY
TYSONS CORNER, VA

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

FACSIMILE

(202) 955-9792

CHICAGO, IL

STAMFORD, CT

(202) 955-9600

www.kelleydrye.com

PARSIPPANY, NJ

BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

HONG KONG

AFFILIATE OFFICES
BANGKOK, THAILAND
JAKARTA, INDONESIA
MUMBAI, INDIA
TOKYO, JAPAN

June 16, 2004

STEVEN A. AUGUSTINO

DIRECT LINE (202) 955-9608

E-MAIL: saugustino@kelleydrye.com

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

WCB Docket Nos. 01-338 and 96-98

Notice of *Ex Parte* Presentation

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, the undersigned counsel hereby provides notice of a June 15, 2004 ex parte meeting with Scott Bergmann, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Adelstein, in the proceedings identified above. In attendance at the meeting were: Brad Mutschelknaus and Steven Augustino of Kelley Drye & Warren LLP; Rebecca Sommi of Broadview Networks; Christopher McKee of XO Communications; Jake Jennings of NuVox Communications; and James Falvey of Xspedius Management Company.

The CLECs discussed the court's remand in *USTA v. FCC*, 359 F.3d 554 (DC Cir. 2004) and the deficiencies in the BOCs' "status quo" commitment letters. The enclosed materials were distributed at the meeting.

KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch June 16, 2004 Page 2

In accordance with Section 1.1206(b), one copy of this notice is being provided for each docket.

Sincerely,

Steven A. Augustino

SAA/pab

Enclosure

WHAT THE SO-CALLED UNE "BOC COMMITMENT" LETTERS DO NOT SAY

June 15, 2004

Broadview Networks
KMC Telecom
NuVox Communications
Xspedius Communications
XO Communications

Brad Mutschelknaus Steve Augustino Kelley Drye & Warren LLP

SBC

- Does not preclude seeking state commission approval to raise UNE rates
- Fails to commit to UNE pricing for "entrance facilities"
- Does not define "mass market"
- Does not specify that the promise covers new orders
- Does not expressly preclude backbilling or retroactive "true-up"

BELLSOUTH

- Does not preclude seeking state commission approval to raise UNE rates
- Does not promise to refrain from withdrawing UNEs
- Does not explain what is a "current" interconnection agreement
- Does not define "mass market"
- Does not specify that the promise covers new orders
- Does not expressly preclude backbilling or retroactive "true-up"

Verizon

- Promise is limited to "narrowband" UNEs
- Does not preclude seeking state commission approval to increase UNE rates
- Price commitment is limited to UNE-P
- Mass market defined as customers with less than 4 lines
- Does not make clear that the 90-day notice of future price changes will be made only after the expiration of the 5 month standstill period
- Does not expressly preclude backbilling or retroactive "true-up"

Qwest

- Does not make any commitment for loop/transport UNEs
- Does not promise to refrain from withdrawing UNEs
- Does not expressly preclude backbilling or retroactive "true-up"

Potential Solutions

- Require all BOCs to execute ICA amendments making all UNEs and UNE combinations existing as of 6/15 available at current rates until the remand proceeding is concluded; or
- Promulgate emergency interim rules that formalize the RBOC commitments and fill in the holes